Original Article

A comparison of obesity and some cardiovascular risk factors between male employees of gas refinery, petrochemical plant, and non-industrial workplaces

Irandokht Nikbakht-Jam¹ Hossein Mohaddes-Ardabili² Pardis Keshavarz³ Razieh Hassanpour⁴ Arash Kianzad⁵ Mohammad-Sobhan Sheikh-Andalibi⁶ Elham Mohammadzadeh⁷ Amir Avan⁸ Maryam Tayefi⁹ Amirhossein Sahebkar¹⁰ Majid Khadem-Rezaiyan ¹¹ Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan¹²*

1. MSc of Nutrition, Biochemistry and Nutrition Research Center; School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

- 2. MD student, Biochemistry and Nutrition Research Center; School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 3. MSc of Nutrition, Biochemistry and Nutrition Research Center; School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 4. MSc of Nutrition, Department of Health Security Environment of Mehr Petrochemical Company, South Pars Zone, Assaluyeh, Phase 2, Boushehr, Iran
- BSc of Chemical Engineering, Department of Health Security Environment of Mehr Petrochemical Company, South Pars Zone, Assaluyeh, Phase 2, Boushehr, Iran
 MD student, Student Research Committee, Cardiovascular Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- MD statem, statem research content, rearry of Medicale, Mashnad University of Medical Sciences, Mashnad, Iran
 MSc of Biochemistry, Biochemistry and Nutrition Research Center; School of Medicine, Mashnad University of Medical Sciences, Mashnad, Iran
- PhD of Human Genetic & Assistant Professor, Department of Modern Sciences, and Technologies, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences
- 9. PhD of Statistics, Department of Modern Sciences and Technologies, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences
- 10. PhD of Pharmacy, Biotechnology Research Center, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

11. Resident of Community Medicine, Student Research Committee, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

12. Professor of Clinical Nutrition, Biochemistry and Nutrition Research Center, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

*Correspondence to: Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan GhayourM@mums.ac.ir

(Received: 11 Jul. 2017; Revised: 23 Nov. 2017; Accepted: 25 Dec. 2017)

Abstract

Background and purpose: It is likely that industrial workplaces increase the chance of developing obesity and cardiovascular disease risk factors in the employees. The aim of this study was to compare obesity and some health markers between male employees of gas refinery (first exposure group) and petrochemical staff (second exposure group) compared to non-industrial male employees of general population (non-exposure group).

Method: Seventy five male employees of a petrochemical plant in Assaluyeh, eighty eight male employee of a gas refinery, and eighty six non-industrial male employees of the general population participated in this study. Weight, height, waist circumference, fasting blood glucose, blood pressure, and serum total cholesterol and triglyceride were measured in all the participants. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS Software, version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results: The mean body mass index, waist circumference, fasting blood glucose, and serum triglyceride level were significantly higher in gas refinery staff compared to petrochemical employees, and non-industrial employees (P-values <0.01). The number of subjects suffering from obesity, abdominal obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, and high fasting blood glucose in the gas plant staff was significantly more than the petrochemical plant staff and non-industrial employees (P-values <0.01). However, mean blood pressure and hypertension in non-industrial employees were significantly higher than the other two groups (P-values<0.01).

Conclusion: The results of this study showed that obesity, high fasting blood glucose and hypertriglyceridemia were significantly higher in gas refinery staff. It is recommended to develop a health promotion program for weight management and prevention of obesity in the industrial work place staff.

Keywords: Occupational Health; Body weight; Occupational Diseases

Citation: Nikbakht-Jam I, Mohaddes-Ardabili M, Keshavarz P, Hassanpour R, Kianzad A, Sheikh-Andalibi MS, Mohammadzadeh E, Avan A, Tayefi M, Sahebkar A, Khadem-Rezaiyan M, **Ghayour-Mobarhan M***. A comparison of obesity and some cardiovascular risk factors between male employees of gas refinery, petrochemical plant, and non-industrial workplaces 2018; 6 (1):1-8

Copyright © 2018, Published by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences on behalf of Iranian Journal of Health Sciences and Health Sciences Research Center. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a major cause of mortality and morbidity around the world.Obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension, hyperglycemia, and diabetes are common risk factors for the CVD. Obesity increases the likelihood of metabolic disorders and various diseases, particularly CVD (1-3). Some previous studies have suggested a need to develop programs to prevent obesity and improve the health of employees including employees in industrial workplaces (4). Evidences have shown that obesity is associated with lower work performance and the number of working days in the industrial staff. Absenteeism, illness, and financial losses associated with the illness were higher in the obese employees. Therefore. maintaining a healthy weight in the employees is not only important for the public health, but also should be a key priority for employers to improve the productivity of employees (5-8).Work place and working conditions can be effective on employees' life style, whilst inappropriate lifestyle is one of the most important risk factors for obesity and metabolic disorders (9, 10). Industrial work place settings may influence the lifestyle and subsequently the health of the employees. Evidences show that air pollution can increase the risk of insulin resistance, weight gain, and obesity (11-13). Air pollution is higher in some industrial work places, such as gas and petrochemical industries, which may increase the risk of developing the risk factors of CVD amongst the employees (14, 15). Pars Special Economic Zone in Assaluyeh is one of the most important industrial areas in Iran. The industrial zone. which is located in southern Iran and the Persian Gulf coasts, encompasses gas and petrochemical plants. The climate of this area is typically hot, or hot and humid in coastal areas, and hot and dry in the inner areas (16). In addition, the amount of air pollution in Assaluyeh is high and is increasing, which is due to the activity and development petroleum of and petrochemical companies. Employees of this industrial area are mostly young and middle-aged men. To the best of the researchers' knowledge, although weather employment conditions and in the mentioned areas can affect the health of employees, no research has so far been conducted and reported on the health conditions of these employees. Another industrial city of Iran is Sarakhs (Khorasan Province, Iran). Sarakhs is located in the north east of Iran and encompasses gas industry and refineries. Most employees of these gas refineries are also young and middle-aged men. Working conditions in the gas refineries can affect the lifestyle and health conditions of the employees. Air pollution in this industrial working place has been growing due to gaseous pollutants emissions from refineries. However, no study has so far been reported on the health conditions of the employees of the Iranian gas refineries. The aim of this study was to compare obesity and some health risk factors in the employees of gas refinery and plant in Sarakhs petrochemical and Assaluveh with non-industrial male employees of general population.

2. Methods

The participated of this observational study were 88 male employees of a gas refinery (Sarakhs, Khorasan, Iran), 75 male employees of a petrochemical plant (Assaluyeh, Bushehr, Iran), and 86 nonindustrial male employees of the general population (Khorasan, Mashhad, Iran) with an average age of 35 years. The current study was conducted by the faculty of Medicine of the Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (MUMS), Mashhad, Iran. The ethical considerations of this research were in accordance with the ethical standards of ethics committee of MUMS. Hence, all individuals knowingly and voluntarily participated in this study and signed a consent form.

The participants were employed at the time of study (having a full time job; at least 37.5 hours per week), and were within the age range of 30-55 years old. They were apparently healthy without a history of chronic or systemic diseases and with a minimum duration of employment of 3 non-industrial or more. The years employees had administrative or service jobs in public non-industrial workplaces in Mashhad. The results of pre-employment health screening of the employees had confirmed their health status at the recruitment time. The participants who were unwilling to take part in the study, employees of the general population with self-employment status, and those who had chronic and systemic diseases or did not for cooperate anthropometric and biochemical assessment were excluded.

Anthropometric assessments including height, weight, and waist circumference were performed for all the participants.

Demographic information like age and clinical history were also recorded using a checklist. Standing height (cm) was measured with a wall-mounted stadiometer without shoes. Maximum hip circumference and minimum waist circumference (between below the chest and above the navel) were measured as hip and waist circumferences (cm). Blood samples were also taken after 12 hours of fasting in the morning, and fasting blood glucose, serum triglyceride, in addition to total cholesterol were measured using routine laboratory protocols. Blood pressure was measured in a sitting position using the right arm in all participants considering a fifteen-minute rest before blood pressure measurements. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS Software, version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The normality of data was confirmed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Comparisons were performed using one-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis, Post Hoc, Chi-square, and Pearson correlation tests, and P-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

Age was not statistically different in three groups (p>0.05, Table 1). BMI, waist circumference, fasting blood glucose, and triglyceride were significantly higher in the gas refinery employees than the other two groups (Table 1, p-values< 0.05).

Weight (Kg) 79.60 ± 11.99 80.42 ± 10.84 73.05 ± 11.22 $P<0.001$ Height (cm) 175.52 ± 6.33 171.68 ± 5.89 171.45 ± 6.59 $P<0.001$ BMI (Kg/m ²) 25.75 ± 2.96 27.26 ± 3.24 24.87 ± 3.71 $P<0.001$ FBG (mg/dl) 85.94 ± 6.39 96.44 ± 23.41 83.98 ± 37.17 0.004 WC (cm) 93.28 ± 8.69 95.59 ± 7.72 90.31 ± 8.55 $P<0.001$ I'C (mg/dl) 175.26 ± 30.57 181.00 ± 29.53 176.62 ± 35.50 0.487 I'G (mg/dl) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 $P<0.001$	Place Parameter	Petrochemical Company Employees (n=75)	Gas Refinery Employees (n=88)	Non-industrial Employees (n=86)	*P-value (two-tailed)
Height (cm) 175.52 ± 6.33 171.68 ± 5.89 171.45 ± 6.59 P<0.001BMI (Kg/m²) 25.75 ± 2.96 27.26 ± 3.24 24.87 ± 3.71 P<0.001FBG (mg/dl) 85.94 ± 6.39 96.44 ± 23.41 83.98 ± 37.17 0.004 WC (cm) 93.28 ± 8.69 95.59 ± 7.72 90.31 ± 8.55 P<0.001IC (mg/dl) 175.26 ± 30.57 181.00 ± 29.53 176.62 ± 35.50 0.487 IG (mg/dl) $127.00 (91.00-191.00)$ $139.50(97.75-196.50)$ $104.00 (77.50-148.00)$ 0.001 Systolic BP(mmHg) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 P<0.001	Age (y)	35.57 ± 470	35.42 ± 3.63	36.04 ± 2.51	0.36
BMI (Kg/m²) 25.75 ± 2.96 27.26 ± 3.24 24.87 ± 3.71 P<0.001FBG (mg/dl) 85.94 ± 6.39 96.44 ± 23.41 83.98 ± 37.17 0.004 WC (cm) 93.28 ± 8.69 95.59 ± 7.72 90.31 ± 8.55 P<0.001TC (mg/dl) 175.26 ± 30.57 181.00 ± 29.53 176.62 ± 35.50 0.487 TG (mg/dl) $127.00 (91.00-191.00)$ $139.50(97.75-196.50)$ $104.00 (77.50-148.00)$ 0.001 Systolic BP(mmHg) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 P<0.001	Weight (Kg)	79.60 ± 11.99	80.42 ± 10.84	73.05 ± 11.22	P<0.001
FBG (mg/dl) 85.94 ± 6.39 96.44 ± 23.41 83.98 ± 37.17 0.004 WC (cm) 93.28 ± 8.69 95.59 ± 7.72 90.31 ± 8.55 $P<0.001$ IC (mg/dl) 175.26 ± 30.57 181.00 ± 29.53 176.62 ± 35.50 0.487 IG (mg/dl) $127.00 (91.00-191.00)$ $139.50(97.75-196.50)$ $104.00 (77.50 - 148.00)$ 0.001 Systolic BP(mmHg) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 $P<0.001$	Height (cm)	175.52 ± 6.33	171.68 ± 5.89	171.45 ± 6.59	P<0.001
WC (cm) 93.28 ± 8.69 95.59 ± 7.72 90.31 ± 8.55 P<0.001IC (mg/dl) 175.26 ± 30.57 181.00 ± 29.53 176.62 ± 35.50 0.487 IG (mg/dl) $127.00 (91.00-191.00)$ $139.50(97.75-196.50)$ $104.00 (77.50 - 148.00)$ 0.001 Systolic BP(mmHg) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 P<0.001	BMI (Kg/m ²)	25.75 ± 2.96	27.26 ± 3.24	24.87 ± 3.71	P<0.001
FC (mg/dl) 175.26 ± 30.57 181.00 ± 29.53 176.62 ± 35.50 0.487 FG (mg/dl) $127.00 (91.00-191.00)$ $139.50(97.75-196.50)$ $104.00 (77.50-148.00)$ 0.001 Systolic BP(mmHg) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 P <0.001	FBG (mg/dl)	85.94 ± 6.39	96.44 ± 23.41	83.98 ± 37.17	0.004
Image: TG (mg/dl) 127.00 (91.00-191.00) 139.50(97.75-196.50) 104.00 (77.50-148.00) 0.001 Systolic BP(mmHg) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 P<0.001	WC (cm)	93.28 ± 8.69	95.59 ± 7.72	90.31 ± 8.55	P<0.001
Systolic BP(mmHg) 107.17 ± 10.86 111.06 ± 12.97 115.46 ± 11.84 P<0.001	TC (mg/dl)	175.26 ± 30.57	181.00 ± 29.53	176.62 ± 35.50	0.487
	TG (mg/dl)	127.00 (91.00-191.00)	139.50(97.75-196.50)	104.00 (77.50 -148.00)	0.001
Note: $DD(mm, H_{r})$ (8.60 + 8.02 72.05 + 0.00 77.56 + 8.42 D c0.001	Systolic BP(mmHg)	107.17 ± 10.86	111.06 ± 12.97	115.46 ± 11.84	P<0.001
Jastone Dr(minfig) 08.09 ± 8.02 12.95 ± 9.90 17.56 ± 8.43 P<0.001	Diastolic BP(mmHg)	68.69 ± 8.02	72.95 ± 9.90	77.56 ± 8.43	P<0.001

Table 1. Comparison of basic and health characteristics in three study groups

BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; BP; blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol. Values are expressed as mean ± SD or median (Q1-Q3). * One-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test, depending on the normality of the data.

Table 2. Comparison of health	parameters between groups
-------------------------------	---------------------------

Groups	P-Value (two tailed)						
oroups	BMI*	WC*	TG**	TC*	FBG*	SBP*	DBP*
Gas refinery staff & Non-	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P = 0.382	P = 0.009	P = 0.021	P = 0.001
industrial group							
Gas refinery staff &	P = 0.002	P = 0.076	P = 0.477	P = 0.223	P < 0.001	P = 0.047	P = 0.004
Petrochemical staff							
Petrochemical staff & Non-	P = 0.101	P = 0.032	P = 0.006	P = 0.797	P = 0.653	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
industrial group							

BMI, body mass index; WC, Waist circumference; FBG, fasting blood glucose; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure ;TC, total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride. * Independent sample t-test; ** Mann-Whitney test

Based on the results of the study, BMI was significantly higher in the gas refinery employees than non-industrial staff (P<0.001) and petrochemical plant staff (P=0.002, Table 2). The prevalence of obesity (BMI \ge 30) in gas refinery staff was

also higher than the other groups (p=0.017, Table 3-4). At the same time, the prevalence of abdominal obesity in gas refinery staff was found to be significantly higher than the other groups (p=0.001, Tables 3-4).

Table 3. Frequency of health parameters in male employees of three groups										
Place	Non-industrial	Gas Refinery	Petrochemical							
Parameter	group		Company	*P-value (two-tailed						
	(n=86)	(n=88)	(n=75)							
BM I≥25	39 (45.3%)	67 (76.1%)	41 (54.7%)	P < 0.001						
BM I ≥ 30	8 (9.3%)	20 (22.7%)	7 (9.3%)	P = 0.017						
WC ≥ 94	30 (34.9%)	56 (63.6%)	34 (45.3%)	P = 0.001						
Obesity (BM I \ge 30 or WC \ge 94)	30 (34.9%)	56 (63.6%)	35 (45.3%)	P = 0.001						
TG ≥ 150	21 (24.4%)	38 (44.1%)	31(41.3%)	P = 0.018						
FBG ≥ 100	4 (4.7%)	18 (20.5%)	3 (4.0%)	P < 0.001						
Cholesterol ≥ 200	23 (26.7%)	22 (25.6%)	16 (21.3%)	P = 0.690						
Systolic BP ≥ 130	14 (16.3%)	4 (4.5%)	5 (6.7%)	P = 0.023						
Diastolic BP ≥ 85	13 (15.1%)	7 (8.1%)	1 (1.3%)	P = 0.003						

 Table 3. Frequency of health parameters in male employees of three groups

Values are expressed as frequency and percent. BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; BP; blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol * Kruskal-Wallis test (according to the non-normal distribution of data).

The findings also showed that serum triglyceride of gas refinery workers was significantly higher than other groups (Table1-2, p=0.001), and the prevalence of hypertriglyceridemia was significantly higher in gas refinery and petrochemical employees than non-industrial employees (P=0.007 and P=0.025; respectively, Table 4). However, there was observed no

significant difference in the distribution of hypertriglyceridemia among gas refinery staff and petrochemical employees (p-value>0.05, Table 4). In contrast, blood pressure in non-industrial employees was documented to be significantly higher than employees of gas and petrochemical companies (P<0.001, Tables 1-4).

	*P-Value (two tailed)							
Parameter Groups	Obesity (BMI>30)	Central Obesity (WC ≥ 94cm)	TG ≥150	FBG ≥ 100	TC≥200	SBP ≥ 130	DBP ≥ 85	
Gas refinery staff &	P=0.016	P<0.001	P=0.007	P=0.002	P=0.826	P=0.011	P=0.139	
Non-industrial group Gas refinery staff &	P=0.026	P=0.030	P=0.715	P=0.001	P=0.527	P=0.470	P=0.017	
Petrochemical staff	r=0.020	F=0.030	r=0./13	F=0.001	r=0.327	r=0.470	F=0.017	
Petrochemical staff & Non-industrial group	P=0.951	P=0.134	P=0.025	P=0.001	P=0.400	P=0.088	P=0.001	

Table 5. The correlations between Bivit and health parameters									
Employees		Industrial	Staff	Staff Petrochem		Gas	Gas Refinery		ıstrial
	(Assaluye	eh & Sarakhs)				(Sarakh	s)	(Mashha	d)
FBG	r = 0.27	P < 0.001		r = 0.34	P = 0.003	r = 0.22	P = 0.03	r = 0.01	P = 0.86
TG	r = 0.27	P < 0.001		r = 0.33	P = 0.004	r = 0.22	P = 0.03	r = 0.20	P = 0.06
ТС	r = 0.17	P = 0.033		r = 0.10	P = 0.37	r = 0.18	P = 0.08	r = 0.39	P < 0.001
Systolic BP	r = 0.29	P < 0.001		r = 0.26	P = 0.02	r = 0.28	P < 0.001	r = 0.24	P < 0.001
Diastolic BP	r = 0.25	P = 0.001		r = 0.09	P = 0.43	r = 0.28	P < 0.001	r = 0.17	P = 0.10
	FBG TG TC Systolic BP	FBG r = 0.27 TG r = 0.27 TC r = 0.17 Systolic BP r = 0.29	Total Industrial (Assaluyeh & Sarakhs) FBG r = 0.27 P < 0.001 TG r = 0.27 P < 0.001 TC r = 0.17 P = 0.033 Systolic BP r = 0.29 P < 0.001	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Total & Industrial & Staff \\ \hline (Assaluyeh & Sarakhs) & \\ \hline FBG & r = 0.27 & P < 0.001 \\ \hline TG & r = 0.27 & P < 0.001 \\ \hline TC & r = 0.17 & P = 0.033 \\ \hline Systolic BP & r = 0.29 & P < 0.001 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$ \begin{array}{c} {\bf Total} & {\bf Industrial} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh \& Sarakhs)} & {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petroche} \\ {\bf company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh \& Sarakhs)} & {\bf Company} \\ {\bf FBG} & {\bf r} = 0.27 & {\bf P} < 0.001 & {\bf r} = 0.34 \\ {\bf TG} & {\bf r} = 0.27 & {\bf P} < 0.001 & {\bf r} = 0.33 \\ {\bf TC} & {\bf r} = 0.17 & {\bf P} = 0.033 & {\bf r} = 0.10 \\ {\bf Systolic BP} & {\bf r} = 0.29 & {\bf P} < 0.001 & {\bf r} = 0.26 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} {\bf Total} & {\bf Industrial} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh \& Sarakhs)} & {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh)} \\ \hline \\ {\bf FBG} & {\bf r}=0.27 & {\bf P}<0.001 & {\bf r}=0.34 & {\bf P}=0.003 \\ {\bf TG} & {\bf r}=0.27 & {\bf P}<0.001 & {\bf r}=0.33 & {\bf P}=0.004 \\ {\bf TC} & {\bf r}=0.17 & {\bf P}=0.033 & {\bf r}=0.10 & {\bf P}=0.37 \\ {\bf Systolic BP} & {\bf r}=0.29 & {\bf P}<0.001 & {\bf r}=0.26 & {\bf P}=0.02 \\ \hline \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} {\bf Total} & {\bf Industrial} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh \& Sarakhs)} & {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh)} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh)} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh)} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh)} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf Company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh)} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf Company} \\ {\bf (Assaluyeh)} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf Company} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf Company} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Petrochem} \\ {\bf Staff} & {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} \\ {\bf Staff} \\$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

 Table 5. The correlations between BMI and health parameters

BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; TC, total cholesterol; BP, blood pressure.

As is illustrated in Table 5, the correlations between BMI and FBG, serum TG, and blood pressure in industrial employees were stronger than non-industrial employees.

4. Discussion

The results of the current research showed that the employees with obesity, hyperglycemia, and hypertriglyceridemia were significantly higher in number in gas refinery staff in comparison with the other group. In contrast, hypertension was higher in non-industrial employees. Tsai et al. in their study on 7139 industrial staff at Shell Oil Company in 1983, reported that obesity was associated with higher risk of allcauses mortality. They suggested that reducing obesity in industrial employees is useful to reduce rate of mortality and morbidity. The results of our study showed higher rate of obesity and positive correlations between obesity and serum FBG, TG and blood pressure in industrial workers. Thus, the prevention of obesity among industrial staff of Iran can be useful for developing the associated diseases with obesity and the subsequent mortality in future (17). Bhowmik et al. assessed the prevalence of obesity in 791 Bangladeshi male factory workers and its association with diabetes and hypertension (18). They reported a high prevalence of obesity (43.5%) and abdominal obesity (35%), and significant association between obesity and prevalence of diabetes and hypertension. In

the current study, the prevalence of obesity was lower, but the prevalence of abdominal obesity was higher among the industrial employees (Table 2). Also, the associations between BMI and FBG, serum TG and blood pressure in industrial employees were found to be higher than non-industrial employees. Thus, the results of this research agree with the findings of Bhowmik et al.(18). Vangelova et al., assessed the prevalence of hypertension and dyslipidemia among male industrial workers (19). They suggested that excessive noise in the workplace is associated with hypertension, and hot environment is associated with hyperlipidemia among the employees (20). The industrial employees in the present study had exposure to a hot environment, and the prevalence of dyslipidemia among them was higher than those non-industrial workers. Therefore, the result of this study was found to be consistent with the result of the study of Vangelova study (19). Various Studies have shown that air pollution and chemical pollutants increase the risk of insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and obesity (11, 12, 21, 22). In the current study, air pollution by chemical pollutants in the industrial work places was found to be high. Therefore, this may be effective in increasing the prevalence of obesity, hyperglycemia, and hypertriglyceridemia in the industrial employees. Mean blood pressure and prevalence of hypertension in the industrial employees were observed to be significantly lower than non-industrial employees in this study. Stress was also documented to be an important cause of hypertension, and industrial employees may have less stress. Industrial employees in Iran have a generally better socioeconomic status and receive more salary facilities compared general and to population. Meanwhile, employee evidences have shown that there is an association between socio-economic status and blood pressure levels (23-25). The main limitations of this study were small sample size. lack of assessment of all the biochemical parameters of dyslipidemia (including HDL and LDL), and lack of evaluation of employees life style (including physical activity and diet). Conducting studies with larger sample sizes and comparing the life styles of employees are recommended for future studies. Conducting further studies to find the causes of higher blood pressure among nonindustrial employees is also suggested.

The results of this study showed that obesity, high fasting blood glucose, and hypertriglyceridemia were significantly higher among industrial staff. The results indicated that there was a need for a health promotion program for weight management and prevention of obesity among the industrial work place staff, and there is also a need for regular examination of FBG and TG in industrial workers.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank and appreciate the subjects who voluntarily participated in this study. This work was supported by Mashhad University of Medical Science (the approval code: 89337), Mashhad, Iran.

Conflict of Interest: None.

References:

- Dobbelsteyn CJ, Joffres MR, MacLean DR, Flowerdew G. A comparative evaluation of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and body mass index as indicators of cardiovascular risk factors. The Canadian Heart Health Surveys. International journal of obesity and related metabolic disorders : journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity. 2001;25(5):652-61. PMID: 11360147.
- 2. Abelson P, Kennedy D. The obesity epidemic. Science. 2004;304(5676):1413. PMID: 15178768.
- Luckhaupt S, Cohen M, Li J and Calvert GF. Prevalence of Obesity Among U.S. Workers and Associations with Occupational Factors. American journal of preventive medicine. 2014; 46(3): 237–248. PMID:24512862.
- Robroek SJ, van Lenthe FJ, van Empelen P, Burdorf A. Determinants of participation in worksite health promotion programmes: a systematic review. The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2009; 6: 26. PMID: 19457246. PMCID: 2698926.
- 5. Ostbye T, Dement JM, Krause KM. Obesity and workers' compensation: results from the Duke Health and Safety Surveillance System. Archives of internal medicine. 2007;167(8):766-73. PMID: 17452538.
- Jans MP, van den Heuvel SG, Hildebrandt VH, Bongers PM. Overweight and obesity as predictors of absenteeism in the working population of the Netherlands. Journal of occupational and environmental medicine / American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2007;49(9):975-80. PMID: 17848853.
- Tsai SP, Ahmed FS, Wendt JK, Bhojani F, Donnelly RP. The impact of obesity on illness absence and productivity in an industrial population of petrochemical workers. Annals of epidemiology. 2008;18(1):8-14. PMID: 17890102.
- 8. Tsai SP, Wendt JK, Ahmed FS, Donnelly RP, Strawmyer TR. Illness absence patterns among employees in a petrochemical facility: impact of selected health risk factors. Journal of occupational and environmental medicine / American College

of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2005;47(8):838-46. PMID: 16093934.

- Dichi I, Simao AN, Vannucchi H, Curi R, Calder PC. Metabolic syndrome: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and nutrition intervention. Journal of nutrition and metabolism. 2012; 2012(584541). PMID: 22778922. PMCID: 3384958.
- 10.Hamilton MT, Hamilton DG, Zderic TW. Role of low energy expenditure and sitting in obesity, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. Diabetes. 2007;56(11):2655-67. PMID: 17827399.
- 11.Lim S, Cho YM, Park KS, Lee HK. Persistent organic pollutants, mitochondrial dysfunction, and metabolic syndrome. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2010;1201(166-76). PMID: 20649553.
- 12. Lyche JL, Nourizadeh-Lillabadi R, Karlsson C, Stavik B, Berg V, Skare JU, et al. Natural mixtures of POPs affected body weight gain and induced transcription of genes involved in weight regulation and insulin signaling. Aquatic toxicology. 2011;102(3-4):197-204. PMID: 21356182.
- 13.Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA, 3rd, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010;121(21):2331-78. PMID: 20458016.
- 14.Lin MC, Chiu HF, Yu HS, Tsai SS, Cheng BH, Wu TN, et al. Increased risk of preterm delivery in areas with air pollution from a petroleum refinery plant in Taiwan. Journal of toxicology and environmental health Part A. 2001;64(8):637-44. PMID: 11766170.
- 15.Samet JM, Dominici F, Curriero FC, Coursac I, Zeger SL. Fine particulate air pollution and mortality in 20 U.S. cities, 1987-1994. The New England journal of medicine. 2000;343(24):1742-9. PMID: 11114312.
- 16.Hemmatjo R, Zare S, Babaei-Heydarabadi A and Hajivandi A. Investigation of heat stress in workplace for different work groups according to ISO 7243 standard in Mehr Petrochemical Complex, Assaluyeh, Iran. Journal of paramedical sciences. 2013; 4(2).

- 17. Tsai SP, Donnelly RP, Wendt JK. Obesity and mortality in a prospective study of a middle-aged industrial population. Journal of occupational and environmental medicine American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2006;48(1):22-7. PMID: 16404206.
- 18. Bhowmik B, Afsana F, Ahmed T, Akhter S, Choudhury HA, Rahman A, et al. Obesity and associated type 2 diabetes and hypertension in factory workers of Bangladesh. BMC research notes. 2015;8(1):460. PMID: 26386828. PMCID: 4575450.
- 19. Vangelova KK, Deyanov CE. Blood pressure and serum lipids in industrial workers under intense noise and a hot environment. Reviews on environmental health. 2007;22(4):303-11. PMID: 18351229.
- 20. Vangelova K, Deyanov C, Ivanova M. Dyslipidemia in industrial workers in hot environments. Central European journal of public health. 2006 Mar;14(1):15-7. PMID: 16705875.
- 21.Dangi-Garimella S. Environmental pollutants: a risk factor for obesity and diabetes. The American journal of managed care. 2014; 20(10 Spec No): E8. PMID: 25549559.
- 22.Hectors TL, Vanparys C, van der Ven K, Martens GA, Jorens PG, Van Gaal LF, Covaci A, De Coen W, Blust R. Environmental pollutants and type 2 diabetes: a review of mechanisms that can disrupt beta cell function. Diabetologia. 2011;54(6):1273-90.DOI:10.1007/s00125-011-2109-5
- 23. Colhoun HM, Hemingway H, Poulter NR. Socio-economic status and blood pressure: an overview analysis. Journal of human hypertension. 1998;12(2):91-110. PMID: 9504351.
- 24. Kapuku GL, Treiber FA, Davis HC. Relationships among socioeconomic status, stress induced changes in cortisol, and blood pressure in African American males. Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine. 2002;24(4):320-5. PMID: 12434943.
- 25.Steptoe A, Kunz-Ebrecht S, Owen N, Feldman PJ, Willemsen G, Kirschbaum C, et al. Socioeconomic status and stressrelated biological responses over the working day. Psychosomatic medicine. 2003;65(3):461-70. PMID: 12764220.